



## ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN ACADEMIC PUBLISHING AND RESEARCH WRITING: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW

UKAIVBE ENOH.

PUBLISHER SCRIBE SCIENCE: MULTIDISCIPLINARY JOURNAL.

### Article Info:

#### Author(s):

Ukaivbe Enoh.

#### History:

Received Date: Dec.30th 2025

Accepted Date: January 15th, 2026

pp, 9-13 January, 2026

#### Corresponding Author:

Ukaivbe Enoh.

Publisher Scribe Science:  
Multidisciplinary Journal

#### Article Type:

Review

#### Keywords:

Artificial Intelligence, Academic Publishing, Research Writing, Scholarly Communication, Peer Review, Ethical Publishing

### Abstract

*Artificial intelligence (AI) is transforming research writing and academic publishing by enhancing accessibility, accuracy, and efficiency throughout the scholarly communication process. AI technologies are changing the way researchers, editors, and publishers work, from language editing and article preparation to plagiarism detection, peer review assistance, and journal administration systems. This paper looks at how AI is now used in research writing and academic publishing, emphasizing how it can improve writing quality, expedite editorial processes, and assist scholars who are not native English speakers. The study also addresses practical and ethical issues, such as algorithmic bias, data privacy, authorship integrity, and an excessive reliance on automated methods. The benefits and difficulties of implementing AI technology in underdeveloped nations—especially in Africa, where infrastructure constraints coexist with significant innovation potential—are given particular emphasis. The review comes to the conclusion that although AI has great potential to enhance the caliber and inclusivity of scholarly publishing, its application must be governed by ethical frameworks, responsible behaviors, and transparency..*

### INTRODUCTION

Academic publishing is the foundation of knowledge dissemination, enabling researchers to communicate findings, advance scholarship, and contribute to global intellectual development. Research writing, peer review, editing, and publication have historically been mostly dependent on human labor, which is efficient but may be expensive, time-consuming, and occasionally inconsistent. The quick development of artificial intelligence (AI) technology in recent years has opened up new avenues for enhancing the effectiveness and quality of academic communication.

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is the capacity of machines and computer systems to carry out tasks like learning, reasoning, language comprehension, and decision-making that typically need human intelligence. Grammar and language correction, plagiarism detection, literature search and summary, reference management, journal screening procedures, and reviewer selection are among the increasingly common uses of AI tools in academia (Kang et al. 2020; Papagiannidis et al., 2025; Tetzner, 2025). These advancements have established AI as a potent tool for academic publishing and research writing.

Faster and more dependable publishing workflows are under demand due to the growing volume of articles submitted to journals and the mounting pressure on researchers to publish. AI technologies help address

these challenges by automating repetitive tasks, reducing editorial workload, and improving manuscript quality before submission. AI-based writing and editing tools help non-native English speakers overcome linguistic difficulties, especially those in poor nations (Kemal, 2025; Tetzner, 2025).

The use of AI in academic publishing presents significant ethical and practical issues notwithstanding its benefits. Many academic groups still have unanswered questions about authorship, originality, data privacy, algorithmic bias, and the possible abuse of AI-generated content (Pendyala et al. 2025; Tetzner, 2025). With a focus on developing nations, this paper offers a thorough analysis of AI's involvement in academic publication, covering applications, advantages, difficulties, and future possibilities.

### Concept of Artificial Intelligence in Research

AI is a branch of computer science concerned with the development of systems capable of performing tasks that normally require human intelligence, such as learning from data, recognizing patterns, understanding natural language, making decisions, and solving complex problems. In research and academic publishing,

AI functions primarily as a supportive technology designed to enhance human intellectual activities rather than replace them.

Several AI subfields are relevant to scholarly communication. Machine learning (ML) enables systems to learn from data and improve performance without explicit programming (Kang et al. 2020). Natural language processing (NLP) allows computers to understand, interpret, and generate human language, forming the foundation of grammar checkers, summarization tools, and semantic search engines (Quaia, 2025). Deep learning uses neural networks to process complex data, applied in text classification, topic modeling, and detection of manipulated images in scientific articles (Cheng et al. 2025). Finally, expert systems and recommendation engines simulate human expertise to suggest reviewers, recommend literature, and guide journal selection (Papagiannidis et al., 2025; Tetzner, 2025).

The evolution of AI in scholarly communication has progressed from simple automation of spelling checks to sophisticated tools capable of drafting abstracts, summarizing literature, and assisting editorial workflows. Importantly, AI functions as an assistive technology, supporting researchers, editors, and reviewers in improving efficiency, quality, and accessibility without replacing human judgment (Giray, 2024).

### AI in Research Writing

AI assists authors in producing higher-quality manuscripts by improving language, detecting errors, managing references, and summarizing literature. Language editing tools like Grammarly use NLP to detect grammatical errors, spelling mistakes, and sentence structure problems, enhancing clarity and readability (Kang et al. 2020).

Plagiarism detection software such as Turnitin and iThenticate uses AI to scan text against large databases of published work, helping authors ensure originality and ethical compliance (Kemal. 2025; Tetzner, 2025).

Literature search and summarization platforms, including Semantic Scholar and Connected Papers, leverage AI to identify relevant studies, group related topics, and generate concise summaries of key findings, speeding up the literature review process (Cheng et al. 2025).

Reference management tools automate citation formatting and suggest relevant literature, minimizing human error and increasing manuscript accuracy (IJRSI, 2025). Emerging AI models can even generate text sections, such as abstracts or literature descriptions, but these require careful human review to maintain originality and accuracy (Advances in Simulation, 2025). Overall, AI improves efficiency, reduces errors, and supports non-native English speakers, allowing authors to focus more on analysis and interpretation of results (Giray, 2024).

### AI in Peer Review and Editorial Processes

AI is increasingly integrated into editorial workflows to handle rising manuscript volumes, reviewer shortages, and slow turnaround times.

AI assists in pre-screening manuscripts for compliance with journal requirements, such as formatting, scope, plagiarism, and language quality (Quaia, 2025). It also automates reviewer selection by analyzing databases of researchers, publications, and citation networks to recommend suitable reviewers (Giray, 2024; Kang et al. 2020).

Some AI systems assist in peer review tasks, including summarizing manuscript content, checking internal consistency, highlighting missing components, and flagging ethical issues like duplicate publication (Cheng et al. 2025).

However, ethical and confidentiality concerns exist. Confidential manuscripts could be exposed if uploaded to AI platforms without permission, and AI assessments may be biased or incomplete due to lack of contextual understanding (Kemal. 2025; Pendyala et al. 2025; Tetzner, 2025). Adoption varies widely, with many editors hesitant due to ethical, technical, and resource-related concerns (Kemal. 2025). Misuse, such as attempts to manipulate AI evaluations, highlights the need for clear editorial policies (Giray, 2024).

### Benefits of AI in Academic Publishing

AI offers numerous benefits across publishing workflows. It increases efficiency by automating repetitive tasks like pre-screening, reference formatting, and plagiarism detection, saving time for editors and reviewers (Quaia, 2025).

It improves quality and accuracy, reducing grammar, formatting, and citation errors, while enhancing clarity and readability for manuscripts (Kang et al. 2020; Giray, 2024).

AI tools also enhance accessibility for non-native English speakers, democratizing scholarly communication (Kemal. 2025).

AI streamlines editorial workflows, handling large volumes of submissions and automating ethical checks (Pendyala et al. 2025). Additionally, AI provides data-driven insights into research trends, reviewer performance, and citation impact, supporting evidence-based editorial decisions (Papagiannidis et al., 2025; Tetzner, 2025). Finally, AI contributes to research integrity by detecting errors and ethical issues, though human oversight remains essential (Cheng et al. 2025).

### Ethical and Practical Challenges

Despite its advantages, AI presents ethical and practical challenges.

## Authorship and Originality Concerns

The use of artificial intelligence in academic writing raises serious questions about authorship and originality. Traditionally, authorship implies intellectual responsibility, creativity, and accountability for the content of a scholarly work. However, when AI tools are used to generate text, paraphrase content, or suggest arguments, it becomes unclear how much of the intellectual contribution truly belongs to the human author (Floridi et al., 2018). This blurring of boundaries challenges long-standing academic norms regarding originality and scholarly ownership.

One major concern is that AI-generated or AI-assisted text may compromise the originality of research manuscripts. Although AI tools can produce grammatically correct and coherent content, they do so by drawing on patterns from existing data. This raises the risk of unintentional plagiarism or excessive similarity to previously published works (Stahl & Wright, 2018). If authors rely heavily on AI to draft sections of their papers, the resulting work may lack genuine novelty, which is a fundamental requirement of scholarly publishing (COPE, 2023).

Another issue is whether AI can or should be credited as an author. Most publishers and editorial organizations agree that AI cannot be considered an author because it cannot take responsibility for the content, consent to publication, or respond to ethical concerns (COPE, 2023; Pendyala et al. 2025). Authorship requires accountability, transparency, and the ability to defend the work intellectually, qualities that AI systems do not possess. Therefore, responsibility must always remain with the human researchers who use these tools.

There is also concern about the misuse of AI to fabricate or manipulate scholarly content. AI can be used to generate large volumes of text quickly, which may encourage unethical practices such as submitting low-quality or misleading research, fabricating literature reviews, or producing "paper mill" style articles (Tetzner, 2025; Kemal. 2025). Such practices threaten the credibility of academic publishing and weaken trust in scientific communication.

Furthermore, AI tools may obscure the distinction between assistance and authorship. While using AI for grammar checking, language refinement, or formatting is generally considered acceptable, using it to generate original arguments, research interpretations, or theoretical frameworks may cross ethical boundaries (Tetzner, 2025). Many journals now require authors to disclose the use of AI tools in manuscript preparation to ensure transparency and accountability (Kang et al. 2020; Pendyala et al. 2025).

To address these concerns, clear policies are needed. Authors should:

- Retain full responsibility for the content of their work.
- Disclose any use of AI tools in manuscript preparation.

- Ensure that AI is used as a support tool, not a substitute for intellectual contribution.
- Verify originality using plagiarism detection tools.

Journals, on their part, should develop explicit guidelines on acceptable and unacceptable uses of AI in research writing and enforce strict standards of authorship integrity (COPE, 2023; Kang et al. 2020).

## Data Privacy and Confidentiality

Data privacy and confidentiality are among the most critical ethical concerns in the application of artificial intelligence (AI) in academic publishing and research writing. AI tools often require access to large volumes of textual data, including unpublished manuscripts, peer review reports, author information, and sometimes sensitive research data. If not properly managed, this can expose scholars and institutions to risks such as data leakage, unauthorized reuse of intellectual property, and breaches of personal information (Floridi et al., 2018).

When authors upload manuscripts to AI-powered tools for editing, summarization, or plagiarism checking, the data may be stored on external servers. This raises concerns about who owns the data, how long it is retained, and whether it may be reused for training AI models without explicit consent (Stahl & Wright, 2018). In academic publishing, where originality and confidentiality are paramount, such practices can undermine trust in the editorial process and compromise intellectual property rights (Kang et al. 2020; Floridi et al., 2018).

Confidentiality is particularly important in peer review. Reviewers' identities, comments, and recommendations must remain protected to preserve the integrity and impartiality of the process. If AI systems are used to assist with reviewer selection, manuscript screening, or report analysis, safeguards must be in place to prevent unauthorized access to reviewer data and manuscript content (Kang et al. 2020; Tetzner, 2025). Any breach of this confidentiality could discourage reviewers from participating and damage the credibility of journals.

Another challenge relates to compliance with data protection regulations. Many countries enforce strict laws on how personal data should be handled, such as the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) in the European Union. AI tools used in publishing must comply with these regulations by ensuring data minimization, user consent, transparency, and secure storage practices (Voigt & von dem Bussche, 2017). Failure to comply can lead to legal consequences and reputational damage for publishers.

Furthermore, AI models trained on academic texts may unintentionally memorize sensitive information and reproduce it later, a phenomenon known as data leakage or memorization risk (Carlini et al., 2021). This is

particularly dangerous in medical, social science, or confidential research contexts, where personal or sensitive data must never be exposed.

To mitigate these risks, publishers and researchers should adopt responsible data governance practices. These include using AI tools that guarantee data encryption, do not retain user-submitted content for training without permission, and provide transparent privacy policies (Floridi et al., 2018; Tetzner, 2025). Journals should also inform authors and reviewers when AI tools are used in the editorial process and specify how data is protected.

Algorithmic bias: in AI systems can disadvantage certain authors or regions due to biased training data (Papagiannidis et al., 2025; Tetzner, 2025).

Over-reliance on AI: This may weaken critical thinking, with authors or reviewers depending too heavily on AI outputs (Giray, 2024).

Finally, resource and access inequalities and the need for clear ethical oversight and policies remain critical to ensure responsible AI use (Kemal. 2025; Pendyala et al. 2025; Cheng et al. 2025).

### AI in Developing Countries

AI adoption in developing countries, particularly in Africa, faces both opportunities and constraints. AI tools can support non-native English speakers, improve research visibility, and streamline publishing workflows, helping researchers compete in the global academic environment (Kemal. 2025).

However, challenges include limited access to high-speed internet, costly software subscriptions, lack of training, and infrastructure deficits (Papagiannidis et al., 2025; Tetzner, 2025). Equitable adoption requires targeted capacity-building, affordable AI solutions, and institutional support to prevent widening global research disparities.

### Future Directions

The future of AI in academic publishing involves balancing innovation with ethical oversight. Journals and institutions should:

- Develop clear policies on AI use in manuscript preparation, peer review, and editorial decision-making (Pendyala et al., 2025).
  - Train authors, editors, and reviewers in responsible AI usage.
  - Address access inequalities by providing affordable tools and infrastructure support in developing regions.
  - Ensure continuous monitoring and correction of algorithmic bias and inaccuracies (Papagiannidis et al., 2025; Tetzner, 2025).
- Responsible AI adoption promises faster, higher-quality, and more inclusive scholarly communication.

### Conclusion

Artificial intelligence is reshaping academic publishing and research writing by improving efficiency, accuracy, and accessibility. It assists authors in writing, editors in managing workflows, and reviewers in evaluating manuscripts. Despite clear benefits, AI presents ethical, practical, and equity challenges, including authorship transparency, algorithmic bias, data privacy, and unequal access. Addressing these issues through policies, training, and oversight is essential. Properly integrated, AI has the potential to transform scholarly publishing while maintaining integrity and inclusivity, particularly in developing countries where it can help bridge gaps in research participation and visibility.

### REFERENCES

- Kemal Ö. Artificial Intelligence in Peer Review: Ethical Risks and Practical Limits. *Turk Arch Otorhinolaryngol.* 2025 Sep 26;63(3):108-109. doi: 10.4274/tao.2025.2025-8-12. Epub 2025 Sep 2. PMID: 40891518; PMCID: PMC12481007.
- Giray, L. (2024). Benefits and challenges of using AI for peer review: A study on researchers' perceptions. *The Serials Librarian*, 85, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0361526X.2024.2428377>
- Quaia, E. (2025). AI-Written Scientific Manuscripts. *Tomography*, 11(11), 123. <https://doi.org/10.3390/tomography11110123>
- Grammarly. (n.d.). In Wikipedia. Retrieved January 14, 2026, from <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Grammarly>
- IJRSI. (2025). AI tools in academic writing and peer review processes. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation*, XII(VIII), 2025–2035. [https://rsisinternational.org/journals/ijrsi/uploads/vol12-iss8-pg2025-2035-202509\\_pdf.pdf](https://rsisinternational.org/journals/ijrsi/uploads/vol12-iss8-pg2025-2035-202509_pdf.pdf)
- Kang, Y., Cai, Z., Tan, C.-W., Huang, Q., & Liu, H. (2020). Natural Language Processing (NLP) in Management Research: A Literature Review. *Journal of Management Analytics*, 7(2), 139-172. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23270012.2020.1756939>
- Papagiannidis, E., Mikalef, P., & Conboy, K. (2025). Responsible artificial intelligence governance: A review and research framework. *The Journal of Strategic Information Systems*, 34(2), 101885. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsis.2024.101885>
- Pendyala, V. S., Kamdar, K., & Mulchandani, K. (2025). Automated Research Review Support Using Machine Learning, Large Language Models, and Natural Language Processing. *Electronics*, 14(2), 256. <https://doi.org/10.3390/electronics14020256>
- Semantic Scholar. (n.d.). In Wikipedia. Retrieved January 14, 2026, from [https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Semantic\\_Scholar](https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Semantic_Scholar)
- Cheng, A., Calhoun, A. & Reedy, G. (2025): Artificial intelligence-assisted academic writing: recommendations for ethical use. *Adv Simul* 10, 22

(2025). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s41077-025-00350-6>  
COPE (Committee on Publication Ethics). (2023).  
Authorship and AI tools: Guidance for publishers and  
editors. <https://publicationethics.org>  
Floridi, L., Cows, J., Beltrametti, M., Chatila, R.,  
Chazerand, P., Dignum, V., ... Vayena, E. (2018).  
AI4People—An ethical framework for a good AI  
society. *Minds and Machines*, 28(4), 689–707.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11023-018-9482-5>

Stahl, B. C., & Wright, D. (2018). Ethics and privacy in AI  
and big data: Implementing responsible research and  
innovation. *IEEE Security & Privacy*, 16(3), 26–33.  
<https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2018.2701164>.